Synthesis of Benzo[*a*]carbazoles and an Indolo[2,3-*a*]carbazole from 3-Aryltetramic Acids

Nathanyal J. Truax, Fernando Banales Mejia, Deborah O. Kwansare, Megan M. Lafferty, Maeve H. Kean, and Erin T. Pelkey*

Department of Chemistry, Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, New York 14456, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A simple and flexible approach to 3-pyrrolin-2one fused carbazoles is disclosed. The key step involves the BF₃-mediated electrophilic substitution of indoles with *N*alkyl-substituted 3-aryltetramic acids, which provides access to indole-substituted 3-pyrrolin-2-ones. Scholl-type oxidative cyclizations of these materials led to the formation of the corresponding 3-pyrrolin-2-one-fused benzo[*a*]carbazoles and



indolo[2,3-*a*]carbazoles. This work represents the first synthesis of the benzo[a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]carbazol-3(8H)-one ring system, while the indolo[2,3-*a*]pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]carbazol-5-one ring system is found in a number of biologically active compounds including the protein kinase C (PKC) inhibitor, staurosporine.

ndolo[2,3-*a*] carbazoles comprise an important class of biologically active heterocycles (Figure 1).^{1,2} For example,



Figure 1. Structures of fused carbazole ring systems.

staurosporine $(1)^3$ is a potent inhibitor of protein kinase C (PKC).⁴ Hudkins and co-workers investigated structure– activity relationships by preparing carbocyclic⁵ and heterocyclic⁶ fused variants of the indolo[2,3-*a*]carbazole ring system. One of these analogs, an indazolo[5,4-*a*]carbazole named CEP-11981 (2), was found to be a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor⁷ and advanced to Phase I clinical trials.⁸ Interestingly, the parent benzo[*a*]carbazole 3 has not previously been reported.^{9,10}

We are interested in developing synthetic strategies that can be used to prepare indolo[2,3-*a*]carbazoles and new heterocyclic analogs such as **3**. Toward this end, we have explored the use of tetramic acid derivatives to prepare 3,4-diaryl-3-pyrrolin-2-ones (4) (Scheme 1).^{11–13} Palladium-catalyzed crosscoupling reactions of tetramic acid triflates with arylboronic acids give **4**. Rather than using costly indolylboronic acids to prepare indole-substituted 3-pyrrolin-2-ones **5**, we became interested in developing a new strategy that takes advantage of the inherent reactivity of the electron-rich indole ring system.¹⁴ We were inspired by a report by Prabhakar and co-workers;¹⁵

Scheme 1. Arylation Reactions of Tetramic Acids



they found that treatment of *N*-benzoyltetramic acid with 2,2'biindole in the presence of $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ gave a biindolesubstituted 3-pyrrolin-2-one with loss of the benzoyl group. To preclude the possibility of *N*-deprotection, we decided to systematically study the synthesis of **5** using *N*-alkyltetramic acids. Realization of this strategy would offer significant advantages over the cross-coupling strategy as it does not require functionalization of the enolic moiety into a triflate nor does it require the use of palladium catalysts or arylboronic acids.

The requisite N-alkyl-3-aryltetramic acids 8 were prepared using the one-pot tandem amidation-Dieckmann cyclization reported by Le Gall and co-workers (Scheme 2).^{16,17} The

 Received:
 May 7, 2016

 Published:
 July 8, 2016

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 3-Aryltetramic Acids



cyclocondensation of ethyl *N*-alkylglycinates 6^{18} and arylacetates 7^{19} by treatment with *t*-BuOK gave tetramic acids 8 in mostly good yields. The latter were conveniently isolated as crystalline materials directly from the workup of these reactions. We chose to make *N*-tert-butyl tetramic acid 8f in order to explore the possibility of making the free N–H lactams later by removal of the *tert*-butyl groups.²⁰ Interestingly, this tandem reaction failed to give indole-substituted tetramic acid 8g.

We next explored Lewis-acid mediated arylation reactions using *N*-propyltetramic acid 8c as the electrophilic partner and *N*-methylindole (9a) as the nucleophilic partner (Table 1, entry

Table 1. Screening Reaction Conditions⁴

OMe reaction conditions 3Å molecular sieves 80 9a 5c Lewis acid solvent temp (°C) time (h) conversion^b entry 1 BF3·Et2O CH₂Cl₂ 40 20 13 BF3·Et2O 0 2 THF 65 1 3 BF3.Et2O CH₃CN 65 1 0 7 BF3·Et2O 4 DCE 65 1 5 BF3·Et2O PhCl 65 1 25 6 BF3.Et2O PhCl 65 4 40 7 BF3·Et2O PhCl 65 24 54 8 BF3.Et2O PhMe 65 1 37 9 BF3·Et2O PhCl 100 0.25 53 10 BF3.Et2O PhCl 100 0.5 70 11 BF3.Et2O PhCl 100 0.75 65 12 BF3·Et2O PhCl 100 1 64 13 BF3.Et2O PhCl 100 4 45 14 BF3·Et2O PhMe 100 1 80 15 AlCl₃ PhCl 100 0.5 0 16 FeCl₃ PhCl 100 0.5 d 17 InCl₃ PhCl 100 0.5 27 18 Cu(OTf)₂ PhCl 100 0.5 d

⁴0.40 mmol of 8c, 0.48 mmol of *N*-methylindole (9a), and 0.60 mmol of Lewis acid used. ^bEstimated by using ratio of ring methylene signals (¹H NMR) of 5c and 8c averaged from at least two trials. ^cUsing a 2.0 mmol scale, 11% isolated yield of 5c was obtained. ^dNMR showed neither 8c nor 5c.

1). Following similar reaction conditions to that reported by Prabhakar,¹⁵ we treated **8c** and **9a** with $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ in CH_2Cl_2 and 3 Å molecular sieves at rt for 20 h and obtained an 11% yield of indole product **5c**.

To improve the yield of **5c**, we screened different reaction conditions (Table 1). The reactions were analyzed by evaluating the crude ¹H NMR spectra and determining the ratio of product **5c** to starting material **8c** based on the relative respective methylene protons (δ 3.90 for **8c** and δ 4.45 for **5c**). The best conditions obtained included PhMe, 100 °C, and a reaction time of 1 h (Table 1, entry 14). Longer reaction times gave lower relative amounts of product (Table 1, entry 12 vs entry 13) and this result was rationalized by control experiments, which indicated that the product was being degraded over time.²¹ We tried a few alternate Lewis acids (AlCl₃, FeCl₃, InCl₃, Cu(OTf)₂) to mediate the transformation, but we did not find a better Lewis acid than BF₃·Et₂O.

Using the best conditions from our screen, we next explored substrate scope (Scheme 3). We quickly found that PhMe was

Scheme 3. Substrate Scope



not suitable for larger scale reactions (perhaps due to solubility issues), so we used PhCl as the solvent moving forward (Table 1, entry 10). Treatment of indoles 9 with tetramic acids 8 gave 3,4-diaryl-3-pyrrolin-2-ones 5. The indolylation reaction worked well with *N*-methylindole 9a; on the other hand, a much lower yield was obtained with parent indole (9b) during the preparation of *N*-unsubstituted indole 5h.

We also tried *N*-(phenylsulfonyl)indole $9c^{22}$ (R = SO₂Ph) in the indolylation reaction and we did not observe any arylation product **5i** (Scheme 3); this result illustrates that electron-withdrawing groups on indole inhibit the reaction.

A possible mechanism for this transformation is proposed (Scheme 4). Association of BF_3 with the lactam carbonyl promotes the condensation reaction between the tetramic acid and the indole. Loss of water and disassociation of the Lewis acid gives the product. Similar reactions involving indoles and cyclic ketones have been reported by others leading to 3-vinylindoles.¹⁴

We examined the possibility of preparing *N*-unsubstituted 3pyrrolin-2-ones. We hypothesized that *tert*-butyl substrate **8f** would undergo the indolylation reaction in a similar fashion to the propyl substrate **8e**, and then subsequently, the *tert*-butyl group of the corresponding indole product **5f** could be removed under acidic conditions (e.g., treatment with TFA).

Scheme 4. Possible Mechanism



In one of the early runs (Table 2, entry 1: PhCl, 100 °C, 0.5h), the indolylation of 8f gave a mixture of products that included deprotected product 5j (31% yield) and deprotected tetramic acid 10 (40% yield). We were pleased to see that the *tert*-butyl group was indeed removable. Subjecting tetramic acid 10 to the PhCl/100 °C reaction conditions did not give product 5j suggesting that *N*-substitution of the tetramic acid is required for the indolylation to proceed. Under milder conditions (Table 2, entry 2: DCE, 65 °C, 12h), the protected product 5f was obtained in just 17% yield.

To improve upon these results, we next assessed the inherent stability of the tert-butyl group of 8f in the presence of BF₃. Et₂O in PhCl at a range of different temperatures (70 °C, 80 °C, 90 °C, 100 °C, 110 °C, 120 °C). We found that the tertbutyl group of 8f was relatively stable at 70 and 80 °C (only traces of 10 detected after 1 h), whereas at higher temperatures, significant amounts of 10 could be detected after 1 h in the crude reaction mixtures. Using this information, we ran the indolylation of 8f at 80 °C followed by heating to 120 °C to remove the tert-butyl group of the presumed intermediate product 5f. In addition, to help the indolylation reaction compete with the deprotection, we used 5.0 equiv of 9a. Under these conditions (Table 2, entry 3), we were able to obtain a 55% yield of 5j. This result demonstrates that N-unprotected 3pyrrolin-2-ones can be prepared from tert-butyl protected tetramic acid substrates.²³

We next turned our attention to preparing fused carbazoles. We recently reported the use of Scholl-type oxidative cyclization reactions to transform 3,4-diaryl-3-pyrrolin-2-ones

Table 2. tert-Butyl Substrate

into the corresponding dibenzo[*e,g*]isoindol-1-ones using the hypervalent iodine reagent, phenyliodine(III) bis-(trifluoroacetate) (PIFA).^{11c,24} Treatment of 4-indolylpyrrolones **5d**, **5h**, and **5j** with PIFA and $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ gave the corresponding fused carbazoles **11d**, **11h**, and **11j** (Scheme 5).





To our knowledge, these benzo[a]carbazoles 11 represent the first reported examples of simple benzo[a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]-carbazol-3-ones. As we observed earlier, ^{11c} 3,4-dimethoxyphenyl groups are superior to 4-methoxyphenyl groups in promoting the oxidative cyclization. Neither the 4-methoxyphenyl substrate 5c nor the 4-fluorophenyl substrate 5b yielded any of the corresponding benzo[a]carbazole products 11c and 11b.

Lastly, we applied our methodology to the preparation of the indolo [2,3-a] carbazole ring system (Scheme 6).²⁵ As men-





tioned earlier, we had tried to prepare tetramic acid 8g using the same chemistry depicted in Scheme 2 but this failed. We managed to successfully prepare 8g using an alternate two-step strategy starting from *N*-methylindole-3-acetic acid (see

		HO HO NO 8f	Me MeO N N Sf	Ne Meo ONe Meo ON Ho Ho Ho N Ho Sj 10	le	
entry	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	5f $(\%)^{a}$	5j (%) ^a	10 (%) ^a
1	PhCl	100 °C	0.5	0	31	40
2	DCE	65 °C	12	17	0	Ь
3 ^c	PhCl	80 °C/120 °C ^d	3/1	Ь	55	Ь

Me

^aIsolated yield. ^bNot determined. ^c5.0 equiv of 9a used. ^dAfter heating at 80 °C for 3h, reaction mixture was heated to 120 °C for 1 h.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Supporting Information). Treatment of **8g** with **9a** and BF_3 . Et₂O in PhCl gave bisindole **5g** in 43% yield. Subsequent oxidative cyclization of **5g** mediated by PIFA and BF_3 .Et₂O gave indolo[2,3-*a*]carbazole **11g** in 69% yield. Overall, this result represents a relatively short and potentially flexible strategy to indolocarbazoles.²⁶

In conclusion, we have prepared indole-substituted 3pyrrolin-2-ones using a direct arylation reaction between tetramic acids and simple indole substrates. The indolesubstituted 3-pyrrolin-2-ones proved to be useful building blocks in the preparation of indolo[2,3-a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]carbazoles and benzo[a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]carbazoles via Scholltype oxidative cyclization reactions. The entire synthetic sequence involves only one chromatographic purification per final product and no precious metals or other costly reagents are required.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods.^{11c} *General Method A for the Preparation of Ethyl N-Alkylglycinates* **6**. A modification of a literature procedure was followed.^{18b} To a rt stirred solution of primary amine (400. mmol) in ether (200 mL) was added ethyl bromoacetate (5.5 mL, 50. mmol) dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24–48 h (as noted) during which time a white precipitate forms. The reaction mixture was filtered to remove precipitate. The organic layer was washed with a saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate (100 mL) and brine (200 mL) and dried over sodium sulfate. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave the ethyl *N*-alkylglycinates **6** as colorless oils, which were used directly without further purification.

Ethyl N-Propylglycinate (6a).^{18a} Using general method A, propylamine (23.6 g, 32.9 mL, 400. mmol), and a reaction time of 24 h gave the title compound 6a as a colorless oil (6.99 g, 48.2 mmol, 96% yield): IR (ATR, neat) 3332, 1736 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.19 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (s, 2H), 2.57 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.73 (br s, 1H), 1.52 (sext, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.9, 61.0, 51.8, 51.3, 23.5, 14.5, 12.0 ppm. *Ethyl N-(tert-Butyl)glycinate* (6b).^{18b} Using general method A, *tert*-

*Ethyl N-(tert-Butyl)glycinate (6b).*¹⁰⁰ Using general method A, *tert*butylamine (46.8 g, 67.3 mL, 640. mmol), and a reaction time of 48 h gave the title compound **6b** as a colorless oil (11.53 g, 72.41 mmol, 91% yield): IR (ATR, neat) 3330, 1737 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.19 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.39 (s, 2H), 1.59 (br s, 1H), 1.27 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.10 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.3, 61.1, 50.5, 45.2, 29.1, 14.5 ppm.

General Method B for the Preparation of Methyl Arylacetates 7. To a rt stirred solution of an arylacetic acid (100 mmol) in MeOH (200 mL) was added concentrated H_2SO_4 (5.30 mL, 100 mmol) dropwise. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 1–24 h (as noted) and then cooled to 0 °C with the aid of an external ice bath. An aqueous solution of saturated NaHCO₃ (100 mL) was added to the reaction mixture dropwise via an addition funnel. The bulk of the MeOH was then removed in vacuo and the residue was extracted with ether (2 × 200 mL). The combined organics were dried over Na₂SO₄. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave the methyl arylacetates 7, which were used directly without further purification.

Methyl 2-Phénylacetate (7a).^{19c} Using general method B, phenylacetic acid (10.00 g, 73.45 mmol), and a reaction time of 16 h gave the title compound 7a as a colorless oil (10.40 g, 69.25 mmol, 94% yield): $R_f = 0.80$ (1:2 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1733 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.25–7.36 (m, 5H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.3, 134.2, 129.5, 128.9, 127.4, 52.4, 41.5 ppm.

Methyl 2-(4'-Fluorophenyl)acetate ^(7b).^{19e} Using general method B, 2-(4'-fluorophenyl)acetic acid (10.0 g, 64.9 mmol), and a reaction time of 1.5 h gave the title compound 7b as a colorless oil (8.77 g, 52.1 mmol, 80% yield): $R_f = 0.84$ (1:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1734 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22–7.26 (m, 2H), 6.98–7.04 (m, 2H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100

MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.2 (d, *J* = 1.3 Hz), 162.3 (d, *J* = 244 Hz), 131.1 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 129.9 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz), 115.7 (d, *J* = 21 Hz), 52.4, 40.6 ppm.

Methyl 2-(3',4'-Dimethoxyphenyl)acetate (**7d**).¹⁹ Using general method B, 2-(3',4'-dimethoxyphenyl)acetic acid (5.00 g, 25.5 mmol), and a reaction time of 23 h gave the title compound **7d** as a light yellow oil (4.91 g, 23.4 mmol, 92% yield): $R_f = 0.44$ (1:2 EtOAc/ petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1732 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.82 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.57 (s, 2H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6, 149.2, 148.4, 126.7, 121.7, 112.6, 111.5, 56.2, 56.1, 52.3, 41.0 ppm.

Methyl 2-(2',3',4'-Trimethoxyphenyl)acetate ¹⁷*e*). ^{19a} Using general method B, 2-(2',3',4'-dimethoxyphenyl)acetic acid (5.00 g, 22.1 mmol), and a reaction time of 23 h gave the title compound 7d as a light yellow amorphous solid (4.362 g, 18.16 mmol, 82% yield): mp 35–38 °C (lit.^{19a} mp 40.5–41.5 °C); R_f = 0.38 (1:2 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1734 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.50 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.56 (s, 2H) pm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.3, 153.5, 137.3, 129.8, 106.5, 61.1, 56.4, 52.4, 41.7 ppm.

Methyl 1-*Methylindole-3-acetate* (**7g**).^{19b} Using a modification of general method B (3 equiv of H₂SO₄ and room temperature), 1methylindole-3-acetic acid (3.00 g, 15.9 mmol), and a reaction time of 1 h gave the title compound **7g** as a reddish-brown oil (2.50 g, 12.3 mmol, 77% yield): $R_f = 0.60$ (1:2 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1731, 1616 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59–7.61 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.11–7.15 (m, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.8, 137.1, 128.0, 127.9, 122.0, 119.4, 119.2, 109.5, 107.0, 52.2, 32.9, 31.3 ppm.

General Method C for the Preparation of 3-Aryltetramic Acids 8. A modification of a literature procedure by Le Gall and co-workers was followed.¹⁶ To a rt stirred mixture of ethyl N-alkylglycinates 6 (30.0 mmol) and methyl arylacetates 7 (30.0 mmol) in THF (150 mL) was added solid t-BuOK (4.04 g, 36.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2-24 h as noted. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C (with the aid of an external ice bath) and treated with an aqueous solution of KHSO₄ (1.0 M, 120 mL) dropwise via addition funnel. After stirring the biphasic mixture for 15 min, the bulk of the THF was removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was extracted with EtOAc (4 \times 100 mL). The combined EtOAc layers were washed with brine (400 mL) and dried over sodium sulfate. The EtOAc layer was concentrated to approximately half the original volume and then placed in a refrigerator. The precipitate that formed was collected by filtration. The concentration/filtration sequence was repeated with the mother liquor 2-3 times and additional precipitate collected. The combined precipitated solids were dried in vacuo giving 3-aryltetramic acids as white (or close to white) powders, which were used without further purification. In some cases, treatment of the aqueous residue with EtOAc gave a precipitate which turned out to be the desired product; filtration before the drying step then gave an additional crop of product.

4-Hydroxy-3-phenyl-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (**8a**). Using general method C, amine **6a** (4.36 g, 30.0 mmol), ester 7a (4.51 g, 30.0 mmol), and a reaction time of 11 h gave the title compound **8a** as a white powder (5.18 g, 23.8 mmol, 79% yield): mp 217–222 °C; R_f = 0.38 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1661, 1584 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 11.61 (br s, 1H), 7.97 (dd, *J* = 1.0, 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.16 (m, 1H), 3.93 (s, 2H), 3.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.85 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 170.8, 166.6, 132.5, 127.7, 126.7, 125.4, 102.9, 48.9, 42.5, 21.3, 11.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₁₃H₁₅NO₂·Na 240.0995, found 240.0996.

3-(4'-Fluorophenyl)-4-hydroxy-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (**8b**). Using general method C, amine 6a (2.90 g, 20.0 mmol), ester 7b (3.36 g, 20.0 mmol), and a reaction time of 18 h gave the title compound **8b** as a white powder (2.95 g, 12.5 mmol, 65% yield): mp 220–225 °C; $R_f = 0.31$ (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1663, 1581, 1560 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.71 (br s, 1H), 8.02–8.06 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.17 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 2H), 3.28 (t, J =

7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.84 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.7, 166.4 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz), 160.0 (d, *J* = 241 Hz), 129.0 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 128.4 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 114.5 (d, *J* = 21.6 Hz), 102.0, 48.9, 42.5, 21.2, 11.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₁₃H₁₄FNO₂·Na 258.0901, found 258.0902.

4-Hydroxy-3-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (8c). Using general method C, amine 6a (2.18 g, 15.0 mmol), commercially available methyl 2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)acetate (7c) (2.70 g, 15.0 mmol), and a reaction time of 6 h gave the title compound 8c as a white powder (1.97 g, 7.97 mmol, 53% yield): mp 212–220 °C; $R_f = 0.35$ (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1663, 1582 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.40 (br s, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 3.90 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.50 (sext, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.84 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.1, 165.0, 157.0, 127.8, 125.0, 113.1, 102.7, 54.9, 48.9, 42.5, 21.3, 11.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₁₄H₁₇NO₃·Na 270.1101, found 270.1102.

4-Hydroxy-3-(3',4'-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)one (**8d**). Using general method C, amine **6a** (2.90 g, 20.0 mmol), ester 7d (4.20 g, 20.0 mmol), and a reaction time of 18 h gave the title compound **8d** as a light yellow powder (3.00 g, 10.8 mmol, 54% yield): mp 204–210 °C; R_f = 0.18 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1660, 1582 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.42 (br s, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, *J* = 2.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.85 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.0, 165.1, 147.9, 146.7, 125.4, 119.4, 111.4, 110.6, 102.7, 55.4, 55.3, 48.8, 42.5, 21.3, 11.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₁₅H₁₉NO₄·Na 300.1206, found 300.1207.

4-Hydroxy-3-(3',4',5'-trimethoxyphenyl)-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (**8e**). Using general method C, amine **6a** (2.91 g, 20.0 mmol), ester **7e** (4.81 g, 10.0 mmol), and a reaction time of 24 h gave the title compound **8e** as an off-white powder (2.58 g, 8.39 mmol, 42% yield): mp 190–196 °C; R_f = 0.15 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1662, 1590 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 11.67 (br s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 2H), 3.92 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 6H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.84 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.9, 166.4, 152.2, 135.5, 128.2, 104.2, 102.5, 60.0, 55.6, 48.9, 42.5, 21.3, 11.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₅·Na 330.1312, found 330.1312.

1-(tert-Butyl)-4-hydroxy-3-(3',4'-dimethoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (**8**f). Using general method C, amine **6b** (2.99 g, 18.8 mmol), ester 7**d** (3.95 g, 18.8 mmol), and a reaction time of 22 h gave the title compound **8**f as a white fluffy powder (2.90 g, 9.95 mmol, 53% yield): mp 218–223 °C; $R_f = 0.37$ (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1677, 1591 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.25 (br s, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd, J = 2.0, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.39 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.4, 164.5, 147.8, 146.7, 125.4, 119.6, 111.3, 110.7, 103.9, 55.4, 55.3, 52.7, 47.2, 27.7 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₄·Na 314.1363, found 314.1364.

General Method D for the Preparation of 3-Aryl-4-(indol-3'-yl)-3pyrrolin-2-ones 5. To a rt stirred mixture of tetramic acid 8 (2.00 mmol) and N-methylindole 9a or indole 9b (2.40 mmol) in PhCl (20 mL) was added 3 Å molecular sieves (1.0 g) followed by $BF_3:Et_2O$ (0.43 g, 0.37 mL, 3.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated to 100 °C for 0.5 to 1.5 h (as noted) and then allowed to cool to rt and treated with MeOH (20 mL). The reaction mixture was decanted to remove the molecular sieves and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was treated with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and silica gel (2.0 g) and the solvent was removed in vacuo (dry load). Purification by flash chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether gradient) gave the desired products as lightly colored amorphous solids.

4-(1"-Methylindol-3"-yl)- 3-phenyl-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (5a). Using general method D, tetramic acid 8a (0.500 g, 2.30 mmol), N-methylindole (9a) (0.429 g, 3.27 mmol), and a reaction time of 1 h gave the title compound 5a as a light yellow amorphous solid (0.640 g, 1.94 mmol, 84% yield): mp 43–47 °C; $R_f = 0.44$ (1:1 EtOAc/

petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1660, 1597, 1571 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.49–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.29–7.36 (m, 4H), 7.16–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 7.00–7.04 (m, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.57 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.74 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.6, 143.2, 137.3, 133.9, 129.8, 129.6, 128.6, 128.5, 127.8, 125.7, 122.6, 121.3, 120.7, 110.0, 109.1, 53.4, 44.4, 33.4, 22.3, 11.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₂H₂₂N₂O·Na 353.1624, found 353.1624.

3-(4'-Fluorophenyl)-4-(1"-methylindol-3"-yl)-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (**5b**). Using general method D, tetramic acid **8b** (0.500 g, 2.13 mmol), N-methylindole (**9a**) (0.335 g, 2.55 mmol), and a reaction time of 1 h gave the title compound **5b** as a light yellow amorphous solid (0.638 g, 1.83 mmol, 86% yield): mp 60–63 °C; R_f = 0.44 (1:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1735, 1661, 1599, 1571 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.21–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.11–7.13 (m, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 6.98–7.04 (m, 3H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.56 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.73 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.0 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.5, 162.5 (d, *J* = 246 Hz), 143.2, 137.4, 131.6 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 129.8 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz), 129.4, 127.5, 125.5, 122.7, 121.3, 120.8, 115.6 (d, *J* = 21.3 Hz), 110.0, 109.0, 53.4, 44.4, 33.5, 22.3, 11.7 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₂H₂₁FN₂O·Na 371.1530, found 371.1530.

3-(4'-Methoxyphenyl)-4-(1"-Methylindol-3"-yl)-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (5c). Using general method D, tetramic acid 8c (1.00 g, 4.04 mmol), N-methylindole (9a) (0.636 g, 4.85 mmol), and a reaction time of 1 h gave the title compound 5c as a yellow powder (1.11 g, 3.08 mmol, 76% yield): mp 132–133 °C; R_f = 0.36 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1663, 1605 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.20–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 7.01–7.05 (m, 1H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.56 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.72 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.00 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.8, 159.3, 142.1, 137.3, 131.0, 129.4, 128.1, 126.3, 125.8, 122.5, 121.4, 120.7, 114.1, 110.0, 109.3, 55.5, 53.4, 44.4, 33.4, 22.3, 11.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₃H₂₄N₂O₂·Na 383.1730, found 383.1728.

3-(3',4'-Dimethoxyphenyl)-4-(1"-methylindol-3"-yl)-1-propyl-1Hpyrrol-2(5H)-one (5d). Using general method D, tetramic acid 8d (0.150 g, 0.541 mmol), N-methylindole (9a) (0.085 g, 0.65 mmol), and a reaction time of 1 h gave the title compound 5d as a yellow amorphous solid (0.172 g, 0.440 mmol, 81% yield): mp 66–69 °C; R_f = 0.35 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1654, 1604 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.18–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.08–7.14 (m, 3H), 7.02–7.06 (m, 1H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.56 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.73 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.00 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.7, 148.9, 148.8, 143.0, 137.3, 129.5, 128.0, 126.5, 125.7, 122.6, 122.5, 121.5, 120.8, 112.9, 111.4, 110.0, 109.3, 56.1, 56.0, 55.4, 44.4, 33.4, 22.3, 11.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₄H₂₆N₂O₃·Na 413.1836, found 413.1834.

3-(3',4',5'-Trimethoxyphenyl)-4-(1"-methylindol-3"-yl)-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (5e). Using general method D, tetramic acid 8e (0.250 g, 0.813 mmol), N-methylindole (9a) (0.128 g, 0.976 mmol), and a reaction time of 1 h gave the title compound Se as a white film (0.224 g, 0.533 mmol, 66% yield): mp 45–50 °C; R_f = 0.26 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1660, 1620, 1578 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.17–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.03–7.07 (m, 1H), 6.78 (s, 2H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 6H), 3.57 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.73 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.5, 153.4, 143.0, 137.8, 137.3, 129.7, 129.2, 127.8, 125.5, 122.7, 121.5, 120.9, 110.0, 109.0, 107.0, 61.1, 56.2, 53.3, 44.4, 33.5, 22.3, 11.7 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₅H₂₈N₂O₄·Na 443.1941, found 443.1938.

3-(3',4'-Dimethoxyphenyl)-4-(indol-3"-yl)-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (5h). Using general method D, tetramic acid 8d (0.500 g, 1.80 mmol), indole (9b) (0.634 g, 5.41 mmol), and a reaction time of 1.5 h gave the title compound 5h as a light yellow amorphous solid (0.195 g, 0.518 mmol, 29% yield): mp 184–187 °C; $R_f = 0.27$ (2:1

EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 3351, 3230, 1731, 1647, 1603 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 11.54 (br s, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.04–7.09 (m, 1H), 7.01 (dd, *J* = 2.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.81–6.93 (m, 4H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.43 (m, 2H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 1.64 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 170.4, 148.02, 148.01, 143.2, 136.4, 126.4, 126.2, 126.0, 124.1, 121.8, 121.7, 120.8, 119.6, 113.0, 111.9, 111.4, 109.0, 55.4, 55.0, 52.5, 43.4, 21.4, 11.4 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₃H₂₄N₂O₃·Na 399.1679, found 399.1677.

1-(tert-Butyl)-3-(3',4'-dimethoxyphenyl)-4-(1"-methylindol-3"-yl)--1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (5f). Using a modification of general method D (solvent = DCE; reaction temperature =65 °C), tetramic acid 8f (0.200 g, 0.686 mmol), N-methylindole (9a) (0.108 g, 0.824 mmol), and a reaction time of 12 h gave the title compound as an off-white amorphous solid (46 mg, 0.11 mmol, 17% yield): mp 141–145 °C; R_f = 0.50 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1654, 1602 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.28–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.17 (m, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 7.06–7.11 (m, 2H), 7.00–7.04 (m, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 1.58 (s, 9H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.9, 148.9, 148.7, 141.6, 137.3, 129.35, 129.30, 126.4, 125.6, 122.7, 122.5, 121.6, 120.7, 113.0, 111.4, 109.9, 109.3, 56.14, 56.08, 54.3, 51.7, 33.4, 28.4 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₅H₂₈N₂O₃·Na 427.1992, found 427.1989.

3-(3',4'-Ďimethoxyphenyl)-4-(1"-methylindol-3"-yl)-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (5j). Using general method D, tetramic acid 8f (0.500 g, 1.72 mmol), N-methylindole (9a) (0.270 g, 2.06 mmol), and a reaction time of 0.5 h gave the title compound 5j as a light yellow amorphous solid (0.814 g, 0.528 mmol, 31% yield). Trituration $(CH_2Cl_2/pentane)$ gave an analytical sample of 5j as an off-white powder: mp 83-86 °C; R_f = 0.30 (1:9 MeOH/EtOAc); IR (ATR, neat) 3214, 1731, 1660, 1600 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 8.23 (br s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.10-7.14 (m, 1H), 6.99 (dd, J = 2.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.82–6.86 (m, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.42 (s, 3H) D ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D_6 -DMSO) δ 173.3, 148.01, 147.95, 144.8, 137.0, 130.3, 126.3, 126.0, 124.4, 121.9, 121.7, 121.0, 119.7, 113.1, 111.4, 110.1, 108.5, 55.4, 55.1, 47.9, 32.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for $C_{21}H_{20}N_2O_3$ Na 371.1366, found 371.1366. In a subsequent experiment using general method D, tetramic acid 8f (57 mg, 0.20 mmol), N-methylindole (9a) (131 mg, 1.00 mmol), in PhCl (5 mL) with heating at 80 °C for 3h followed by heating at 120 °C for 1h, the title compound 5j (39. mg, 0.11 mmol) was obtained in 55% yield.

3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-4-hydroxy-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (10). Using general method D, tetramic acid 8f (0.500 g, 1.72 mmol), N-methylindole (9a) (0.270 g, 2.06 mmol), and a reaction time of 0.5 h gave the title compound 10 (after elution of 5j) as a white amorphous solid (0.164 g, 0.697 mmol, 40% yield): mp 234–237 (dec) °C; $R_f = 0.25$ (1:9 MeOH/EtOAc); IR (ATR, neat) 3349, 1666, 1613, 1602, 1582 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.38 (br s, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, J = 2.0, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (br s, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.0, 167.4, 147.9, 146.7, 125.4, 119.5, 111.3, 110.7, 102.9, 55.4, 55.3, 44.7 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₁₂H₁₃NO₄·Na 258.0737, found 258.0739.

General Method E for the Oxidative Cyclization to Fused Carbazoles 11. A modification of a literature procedure was followed.^{11c} A mixture of 3-pyrrolin-2-one 5 (0.20 mmol) and phenyliodine(III) bis(trifluoroacetate) (PIFA) (95 mg, 0.22 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) in 20 mL vial with a septum-style cap was cooled to -40 °C using an external cooling bath (acetonitrile/dry ice). To the cooled reaction mixture was added BF₃·Et₂O dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture was then removed and the crude residue was treated with EtOH (10 mL) and the solution was transferred to a centrifuge tube and placed in a freezer (-20 °C) until a product precipitated. Centrifugation, decantation of the solvent, and drying in vacuo gave the desired products as colored powders.

1,2-Dihydro-5,6-dimethoxy-8-methyl-2-propylbenzo[a]pyrrolo-[3,4-c]carbazol-3(8H)-one (11d). Using general method E, 3-pyrrolin-2-one 5d (0.100 g, 0.256 mmol), and a reaction time of 3.5 h gave title compound 11d as a pink powder (54.6 mg, 0.141 mmol, 55% yield): mp 205–210 °C; R_f = 0.63 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1661, 1629, 1578 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.88 (s, 1H), 8.15 (s, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.36 (m, 1H), 4.87 (s, 2H), 4.43 (s, 3H), 4.04 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.59 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.75 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.3, 148.9, 147.9, 140.6, 136.7, 136.2, 124.7, 124.4, 121.1, 120.7, 120.1, 116.7, 116.3, 111.7, 110.0, 103.5, 103.4, 55.3, 55.2, 48.5, 43.3, 33.6, 21.4, 11.4 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₄H₂₄N₂O₃·Na 411.1679, found 411.1679.

1,2-Dihydro-5,6-dimethoxy-2-propylbenzo[a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]carbazol-3(8H)-one (11h). Using general method E, 3-pyrrolin-2-one Sh (0.116 g, 0.310 mmol), and a reaction time of 4 h gave title compound 11h as a light brown powder (49.9 mg, 0.133 mmol, 43% yield): mp 179–184 °C; $R_f = 0.52$ (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 3302, 1630, 1584 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.36 (br s, 1H), 8.74 (s, 1H), 8.07 (s, 1H), 8.03 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.32 (m, 1H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.61 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.75 (sext, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.5, 149.5, 148.6, 138.9, 137.4, 136.5, 124.7, 123.2, 122.3, 120.9, 119.9, 116.5, 115.5, 111.4, 111.0, 103.5, 102.6, 55.6, 55.3, 48.6, 43.3, 21.4, 11.4 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₃H₂₂N₂O₃·Na 397.1523, found 397.1523.

1,2-Dihydro-5,6-dimethoxy-8-methylbenzo[a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]carbazol-3(8H)-one (11j). Using general method E, 3-pyrrolin-2-one 5j (59 mg, 0.17 mmol), and a reaction time of 3.5 h gave title compound 11j as a brown powder (49 mg, 0.14 mmol, 83% yield): mp >300 °C; R_f = 0.35 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 3382, 1663, 1630, 1579 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.88 (s, 1H), 8.53 (br s, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.35 (m, 1H), 4.83 (s, 2H), 4.47 (s, 3H), 4.05 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 172.3, 148.8, 147.8, 140.5, 138.6, 136.8, 124.6, 121.2, 120.6, 120.0, 116.6, 116.2, 111.8, 109.9, 103.5, 103.2, 55.24, 55.21, 43.9, 33.5 (missing one peak) ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₁H₁₈N₂O₃·Na 369.1210, found 369.1210.

Ethyl 2-(2-(1-Methyl-1H-indol-3-yl)-N-propylacetamido)acetate (i). To a rt stirred mixture of commercially available N-methylindole-3-acetic acid (3.00 g, 15.9 mmol) and DCC (3.94 g, 19.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was added amine 6a (2.53 g, 17.4 mmol) and DMAP (0.194 g, 1.59 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2.5 h and then the precipitate which formed was removed by filtration (twice). The solution was treated with an aqueous solution of KHSO₄ (1.0 M, 100 mL) and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was reextracted with CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (200 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. Removal of the solvent in vacuo gave a crude brown oil (5.4 g) which was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether gradient). Fractions containing product were filtered (traces of DCU) and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the title compound i as a pink oil (2.99 g, 9.45 mmol, 60% yield). Upon standing at rt for a week, the oil solidified into a light pink powder: mp 47-49 °C; $R_f = 0.47$ (1:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1745, 1636 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (mixture of rotamers) 7.55-7.58 (m, 1H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 1H), 7.21-7.25 (m, 1H), 7.09-7.14 (m, 1H), 6.97 and 7.14 (s, 1H), 4.10–4.26 (m, 2H), 4.03 and 4.09 (s, 2H), 3.86 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 2H), 3.75 and 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.31-3.35 (m, 2H), 1.51-1.56 (m, 2H), 1.20–1.30 (m, 3H), 0.84–0.89 (m, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (major rotamer) 172.3, 169.80, 137.1, 127.9, 127.7, 121.9, 119.2, 118.8, 109.5, 107.7, 61.3, 51.5, 47.9, 33.00, 30.8, 22.3, 14.4, 11.4 ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (minor rotamer) 172.0, 169.83, 127.8, 127.6, 122.0, 119.4, 119.0, 110.3, 109.4, 107.4, 61.7, 50.4, 49.5, 32.97, 31.7, 20.9, 14.3, 11.6 ppm of HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C18H24N2O3·Na 339.1679, found 339.1678.

1-(tert-Butyl)-4-hydroxy-3-(1'-methylindol-3'-yl)-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)one (**8g**). Using general method C, amide i (3.46 g, 10.9 mmol), and a reaction time of 2 h gave the title compound **8g** as a white powder (2.445 g, 9.045 mmol, 83% yield): mp 220–228 °C; R_f = 0.31 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1682, 1595 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 10.94 (br s, 1H), 7.95–7.98 (m, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.10–7.14 (m, 1H), 6.97–7.01 (m, 1H), 3.95 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.31 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.53 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 171.5, 162.1, 136.1, 128.0, 126.4, 122.3, 120.9, 118.2, 109.2, 105.5, 100.7, 49.2, 42.8, 32.4, 21.4, 11.3 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₁₆H₁₈N₂O₂·Na 293.1266, found 293.1261.

3,4-Bis(1'-methylindol-3'-yl)-1-propyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (5g). Using general method D, tetramic acid 8g (0.500 g, 1.85 mmol), Nmethylindole (9a) (0.291 g, 2.22 mmol), and a reaction time of 0.5 h gave the title compound 5g as an off-white film (0.307 g, 0.801 mmol, 43% yield). Trituration (CH₂Cl₂/pentane) gave an analytical sample of 5g as an off-white powder: mp 195–199 °C; $R_f = 0.44$ (2:1 EtOAc/ petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1670, 1630, 1608 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62–7.64 (m, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.31–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.23-7.28 (m, 1H), 7.12-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 6.96-6.98 (m, 1H), 6.82–6.86 (m, 1H), 4.63 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.61 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 1.76 (sext, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.01 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.4, 140.2, 137.3, 137.0, 131.2, 130.9, 126.5, 125.4, 122.4, 122.3, 121.5, 120.9, 120.7, 119.1, 110.1, 109.53, 109.50, 107.4, 53.5, 44.6, 33.3, 33.2, 22.3, 11.8 (missing one peak) ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C25H25N3O. Na 406.1890, found 406.1889.

12,13-Dimethyl-6,7,12,13-tetrahydro-6-propyl-5H-indolo[2,3-a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]carbazol-5-one (**11g**). Using general method E, 3pyrrolin-2-one **5g** (50. mg, 0.13 mmol), and a reaction time of 4 h gave title compound **11g** as a light brown powder (34 mg, 0.89 mmol, 69% yield): mp 258–260 °C; R_f = 0.73 (2:1 EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (ATR, neat) 1661, 1618, 1589 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₆-DMSO) δ 9.43 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.61 (m, 1H), 7.52– 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.37–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.31 (m, 1H), 5.06 (s, 2H), 4.29 (s, 3H), 4.25 (s, 3H), 3.65 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.79 (sext, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 0.96 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.3, 144.3, 144.0, 131.7, 130.6, 129.8, 126.7, 126.3, 125.9, 124.2, 123.8, 121.1, 121.0, 120.8, 120.4, 119.9, 116.9, 110.7, 109.9, 50.3, 44.7, 37.1, 36.9, 22.4, 11.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI-FTICR) calcd for C₂₅H₂₃N₃O· Na 404.1733, found 404.1733.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01072.

¹H NMR spectra and ¹³C NMR spectra for all synthesized compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: pelkey@hws.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support of this research from the NSF (RUI: 1362813), Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Drs. Carey and Cohen (M.H.K.), Dr. Edward Franks, and the Patchett Family is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

(1) Indolo[2,3-a]carbazole reviews: (a) Gribble, G. W.; Berthel, S. J. Stud. Nat. Prod. Chem. 1993, 12, 365–409. (b) Sanchez, C.; Mendez,

C.; Salas, J. A. Nat. Prod. Rep. **2006**, 23, 1007–1045. (c) Janosik, T.; Wahlström, N.; Bergman, J. Tetrahedron **2008**, 64, 9159–9180.

(2) Recent selected syntheses of indolo[2,3-a]carbazoles: (a) Viji,
M.; Ghosh, S. K.; Nagarajan, R. Synthesis 2014, 46, 955–961.
(b) Borrero, N. V.; DeRatt, L. G.; Barbosa, L. F.; Abboud, K. A.;
Aponick, A. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1754–1757. (c) Fox, J. C.; Gilligan, R.
E.; Pitts, A. K.; Bennett, H. R.; Gaunt, M. J. Chem. Sci. 2016, 7, 2706–2710.

(3) Nakano, H.; Omura, S. J. Antibiot. 2009, 62, 17-26.

(4) Tamaoki, T.; Nomoto, H.; Takahashi, I.; Kato, Y.; Morimoto, M.; Tomita, F. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1986**, *135*, 397–402.

(5) (a) Hudkins, R. L.; Park, C. H. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2003, 40, 135–142.
(b) Gingrich, D. E.; Reddy, D. R.; Iqbal, M. A.; Singh, J.; Aimone, L. D.; Angeles, T. S.; Albom, M.; Yang, S.; Ator, M. A.; Meyer, S. L.; Robinson, C.; Ruggeri, B. A.; Dionne, C. A.; Vaught, J. L.; Mallamo, J. P.; Hudkins, R. L. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 5375–5388.
(c) Tao, M.; Park, C. H.; Josef, K.; Hudkins, R. L. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2009, 46, 1185–1189.

(6) (a) Hudkins, R. L.; Johnson, N. W. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2001, 38, 591–595. (b) Hudkins, R. L.; Johnson, N. W.; Angeles, T. S.; Gessner, G. W.; Mallamo, J. P. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 433–441.

(7) Hudkins, R. L.; Becknell, N. C.; Zulli, A. L.; Underiner, T. L.; Angeles, T. S.; Aimone, L. D.; Albom, M. S.; Chang, H.; Miknyoczki, S. J.; Hunter, K.; Jones-Bolin, S.; Zhao, H.; Bacon, E. R.; Mallamo, J. P.; Ator, M. A.; Ruggeri, B. A. J. Med. Chem. **2012**, 55, 903–913.

(8) Pili, R.; Carducci, M.; Brown, P.; Hurwitz, H. Invest. New Drugs 2014, 32, 1258–1268.

(9) Preparation of a benzo[*a*]pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]carbazol-1-one, a regioisomer of **3**, has been reported: Conchon, E.; Anizon, F.; Aboab, B.; Prudhomme, M. *Synthesis* **2008**, 2008, 2569–2574.

(10) Preparation of benzo[a]pyrrolo[3,4-c]carbazol-1,3-diones, maleimide derivatives of 3, have been reported: (a) Harris, W.; Hill, C. H.; Keech, E.; Malsher, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 8361-8364. (b) Sanchez-Martinez, C.; Faul, M. M.; Shih, C.; Sullivan, K. A.; Grutsch, J. L.; Cooper, J. T.; Kolis, S. P. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8008-8014. (c) Routier, S.; Mérour, J.-Y.; Dias, N.; Lansiaux, A.; Bailly, C.; Lozach, O.; Meijer, L. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 789-799. (d) Tao, M.; Park, C. H.; Bihovsky, R.; Wells, G. J.; Husten, J.; Ator, M. A.; Hudkins, R. L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006, 16, 938-942. (e) Peifer, C.; Stoiber, T.; Unger, E.; Totzke, F.; Schächtele, C.; Marmé, D.; Brenk, R.; Klebe, G.; Schollmeyer, D.; Dannhardt, G. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 1271-1281. (f) Conchon, E.; Anizon, F.; Aboab, B.; Prudhomme, M. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 4669-4680. (g) Li, L.; Shao, X.; Cole, E. L.; Ohnmacht, S. A.; Ferrari, V.; Hong, Y. T.; Williamson, D. J.; Fryer, T. D.; Quesada, C. A.; Sherman, P.; Riss, P. J.; Scott, P. J. H.; Aigbirhio, F. I. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 548-552.

(11) (a) Dorward, K. M.; Guthrie, N. J.; Pelkey, E. T. Synthesis 2007, 2007, 2317–2322. (b) Yoon-Miller, S. J. P.; Dorward, K. M.; White, K. P.; Pelkey, E. T. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2009, 46, 447–454. (c) van Loon, A. A.; Holton, M. K.; Downey, C. R.; White, T. M.; Rolph, C. E.; Bruening, S. R.; Li, G.; Delaney, K. M.; Pelkey, S. J.; Pelkey, E. T. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8049–8058.

(12) For our alternate strategy to 3,4-diaryl-3-pyrrolin-2-ones 4 via pyrrole Weinreb amides: (a) Coffin, A. R.; Roussell, M. A.; Tserlin, E.; Pelkey, E. T. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6678–6681. (b) Greger, J. G.; Yoon-Miller, S. J. P.; Bechtold, N. R.; Flewelling, S. A.; MacDonald, J. P.; Downey, C. R.; Cohen, E. A.; Pelkey, E. T. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 8203–8214.

(13) 3-Pyrrolin-2-one review: Pelkey, E. T.; Pelkey, S. J.; Greger, J. G. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. **2015**, 115, 151–285.

(14) For direct indole substitution reactions involving ketone electrophiles: (a) Freter, K. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 2525–2529.
(b) Arcadi, A.; Alfonsi, M.; Bianchi, G.; D'Anniballe, G.; Marinelli, F. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 331–338. (c) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Praneeth, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 199–202. (d) Santra, S.; Majee, A.; Hajra, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 3825–3827. (e) Singh, N.; Singh, K. N. Synlett 2012, 23, 2116–2121.

(15) Gaudencio, S. P.; Santos, M. M. M.; Lobo, A. M.; Prabhakar, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 2577–2578.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

(16) Mallinger, A.; Nadal, B.; Chopin, N.; Le Gall, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 2010, 1142-1148.

(17) Alternate syntheses of 3-aryltetramic acids: (a) King, J. A.; McMillan, F. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1950**, 72, 1236–1240. (b) Andrews, M. D.; Brewster, A. G.; Chuhan, J.; Ibbett, A. J.; Moloney, M. G.; Prout, K.; Watkin, D. Synthesis **1997**, 1997, 305–308. (c) Anwar, M.; Moloney, M. G. Tetrahedron Lett. **2007**, 48, 7259–7262. (d) Miyazaki, H.; Ogiku, T.; Sai, H.; Moritani, Y.; Ohtani, A.; Ohmizu, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. **2009**, 57, 979–985. (e) Storgaard, M.; Dörwald, F. Z.; Peschke, D.; Tanner, J. J. Org. Chem. **2009**, 74, 5032–5040. (f) García-Aranda, M. I.; García-López, M. T.; de Vega, M. J. P.; González-Muñiz, R. Tetrahedron Lett. **2014**, 55, 2142–2145.

(18) (a) Kier, L. B.; Dhawan, D. J. Pharm. Sci. 1962, 51, 1058–1061.
(b) Pospísil, J.; Potácek, M. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 337–346.

(19) (a) Sober, D. J.; Chang, J.; Fowble, J. W.; Mukhopadhyay, A.;
Feller, D. R.; Miller, D. D.; Fairchild, E. H. J. Med. Chem. 1981, 24, 970–974.
(b) Karp, G. M. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5814–5819.
(c) Roy, S.; Roy, S.; Gribble, G. W. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4975–4977.
(d) Harker, W. R. R.; Carswell, E. L.; Carbery, D. R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3712–3175.
(e) Molander, G. A.; Traister, K. M.; Barcellos, T. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 4123–4131.

(20) (a) López-Valdez, G.; Olguín-Uribe, S.; Millan-Ortíz, A.; Gamez-Montaño, R.; Miranda, L. D. *Tetrahedron* 2011, *67*, 2693– 2701. (b) Olimpieri, F.; Bellucci, M. C.; Marcelli, T.; Volonterio, A. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012, *10*, 9538–9555. (c) Sakthivel, K.; Srinivasan, K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 2013, 3386–3396. (d) Evans, V.; Mahon, M. F.; Webster, R. L. *Tetrahedron* 2014, *70*, 7593–7597.

(21) In one control experiment, we combined equimolar amounts of **5c** and **8c** and BF₃·Et₂O in PhCl at 100 °C and found that the product **8c** degraded faster than the starting material **5c**.

(22) Illi, V. O. Synthesis 1979, 1979, 136.

(23) We briefly explored the use of a 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl as a protecting group using a substrate available to us from a previous study (reference 11a, compound 4a), and we obtained intractable mixtures that did not appear to contain product by analysis of ¹H NMR.

(24) For leading references for the Scholl-type oxidative cyclization:
(a) Kovacic, P.; Jones, M. B. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 357-359. (b) King,
B. T.; Kroulik, J.; Robertson, C. R.; Rempala, P.; Hilton, C. L.; Korinek, J. D.; Gortari, L. M. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2279-2288.
(c) Zhai, L.; Shukla, R.; Wadumethrige, S. H.; Rathore, R. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4748-4760. (d) Grzybowski, M.; Skonieczny, K.; Butenschön, H.; Gryko, D. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9900-9930.

(25) For PIFA-mediated oxidative cyclizations to indolo[2,3-*a*] pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]carbazoles: Faul, M. M.; Sullivan, K. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 3271–3273.

(26) Synthesis of unsymmetrical staurosporinones: (a) Brüning, J.;
Hache, T.; Winterfeldt, E. Synthesis 1994, 1994, 25–27. (b) Eils, S.;
Winterfeldt, E. Synthesis 1999, 1999, 275–281. (c) Nomak, R.; Snyder,
J. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 7929–7933. (d) Trost, B. M.; Krische,
M. J.; Berl, V.; Grenzer, E. M. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2005–2008.